Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage: Clinical

# ELSEVIER



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynicl

# Integration and relative value of biomarkers for prediction of MCI to AD progression: Spatial patterns of brain atrophy, cognitive scores, APOE genotype and CSF biomarkers $\stackrel{,}{\sim}, \stackrel{,}{\sim} \stackrel{,}{\sim} \stackrel{,}{\sim}$



Xiao Da<sup>a</sup>, Jon B. Toledo<sup>b</sup>, Jarcy Zee<sup>d</sup>, David A. Wolk<sup>c,a</sup>, Sharon X. Xie<sup>d</sup>, Yangming Ou<sup>a</sup>, Amanda Shacklett<sup>a</sup>, Paraskevi Parmpi<sup>a</sup>, Leslie Shaw<sup>b</sup>, John Q. Trojanowski<sup>b</sup>, Christos Davatzikos<sup>a,\*</sup>, for the Alzheimer's Neuroimaging Initiative<sup>1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Section of Biomedical Image Analysis, Department of Radiology, and Center for Biomedical Image Computing and Analytics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, Institute on Aging, Center for Neurodegenerative Disease Research, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA <sup>c</sup> Memory Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 September 2013 Received in revised form 20 November 2013 Accepted 22 November 2013 Available online 28 November 2013

Keywords: Early Alzheimer's disease Biomarkers of AD Magnetic resonance imaging Dementia Mild cognitive impairment Cerebrospinal fluid Amyloid

# ABSTRACT

This study evaluates the individual, as well as relative and joint value of indices obtained from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) patterns of brain atrophy (quantified by the SPARE-AD index), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers, APOE genotype, and cognitive performance (ADAS-Cog) in progression from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to Alzheimer's disease (AD) within a variable follow-up period up to 6 years, using data from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative-1 (ADNI-1). SPARE-AD was first established as a highly sensitive and specific MRI-marker of AD vs. cognitively normal (CN) subjects (AUC = 0.98). Baseline predictive values of all aforementioned indices were then compared using survival analysis on 381 MCI subjects. SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog were found to have similar predictive value, and their combination was significantly better than their individual performance. APOE genotype did not significantly improve prediction, although the combination of SPARE-AD, ADAS-Cog and APOE ε4 provided the highest hazard ratio estimates of 17.8 (last vs. first quartile). In a subset of 192 MCI patients who also had CSF biomarkers, the addition of  $A\beta_{1-42}$ , t-tau, and p-tau<sub>181p</sub> to the previous model did not improve predictive value significantly over SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog combined. Importantly, in amyloid-negative patients with MCI, SPARE-AD had high predictive power of clinical progression. Our findings suggest that SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog in combination offer the highest predictive power of conversion from MCI to AD, which is improved, albeit not significantly, by APOE genotype. The finding that SPARE-AD in amyloid-negative MCI patients was predictive of clinical progression is not expected under the amyloid hypothesis and merits further investigation.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia and a major health and socioeconomic concern (Hurd et al., 2013); therefore, early detection and disease modifying drug development are critically important. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI), in particular,

2213-1582/\$ – see front matter  $\mbox{\sc 0}$  2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2013.11.010

has been an increasingly common target of potential therapeutic trials. However, the neuropathological substrates of MCI are heterogeneous (Schneider et al., 2009) and, despite the high rate of conversion to AD, a significant number of MCI patients remain stable (Petersen et al., 2009), or even revert to being cognitively normal (CN) (Manly et al., 2008). Developing predictors of an MCI individual's likelihood to progress clinically is therefore important. In addition to biomarkers of neurodegeneration (e.g. structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI)), the new research criteria for MCI incorporate the use of biomarkers of A $\beta$  deposition to define MCI due to AD (Albert et al., 2011). A $\beta$  deposition can be measured using PET tracers (Clark et al., 2012a; Ikonomovic et al., 2008) which correlate with decrease in  $A\beta_{1-42}$  in CSF (Fagan et al., 2009; Toledo et al., 2011). Both measures show a high accuracy for predicting AD neuropathology (Clark et al., 2012a; Shaw et al., 2009; Silverman et al., 2001; Toledo et al., 2012). CSF concentrations have shown promise in predicting conversion from MCI to AD (Hampel et al., 2010a, 2010b; Schuff et al., 2009; Shaw et al., 2009). However, when combined with other biomarkers, CSF

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

<sup>☆☆</sup> A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.ucla.edu/ wp-content/uploads/how\_to\_apply/ADNI\_Acknowledgement\_List.pdf.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, 3600 Market Street, Suite 380, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. Tel.: + 1 215 349 8587; fax: + 1 215 614 0266.

E-mail address: christos@rad.upenn.edu (C. Davatzikos).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.ucla.edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report.

has lower predictive power, especially compared to measures of brain atrophy (Davatzikos et al., 2011; Gomar et al., 2011; Vemuri et al., 2009a; Walhovd et al., 2010; Westman et al., 2012). It has been suggested that the presence of amyloid heightens the risk of conversion to AD, perhaps due to changes taking place in an early stage and followed by a ceiling effect (Jack et al., 2010a, 2013b). Alternatively, it is possible that there is another, non-causal, mechanism by which amyloid plaques and atrophy are related. These interpretations would be consistent with the relatively weak correlation between amyloid burden and cortical atrophy in regions typically associated with AD in cognitively normal individuals (Becker et al., 1996; Driscoll et al., 2009, 2011), and the similar amyloid levels between amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) and CN individuals, despite respective hippocampal volumes being different (Jack et al., 2008b), albeit some studies have shown stronger association between amyloid deposition and atrophy patterns (Tosun et al., 2011).

MRI-derived markers have been of central interest in characterizing brain structure in AD (Davatzikos et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2009; Fox and Schott, 2004; Jack et al., 2003; Kloppel et al., 2008; Schuff et al., 2009; Vemuri et al., 2009a; Wolz et al., 2011), and patterns of brain atrophy obtained from MRI have been shown to be relatively good predictors of conversion from CN to MCI (Davatzikos et al., 2008b, 2009; Driscoll et al., 2009; Vemuri et al., 2009b) and from MCI to AD (Adaszewski et al., 2013; Davatzikos et al., 2011; Eskildsen et al., 2012; Plant et al., 2010). The most commonly used sMRI biomarker is hippocampal volume, which is severely affected by AD (Fox et al., 1996; Jack et al., 1992, 2010b; Schuff et al., 2009). Hippocampal volumes alone, however, have limited accuracy for individualized diagnosis and prediction, as there is considerable overlap between hippocampal volumes of CN and AD individuals, and even more with MCI (Fan et al., 2008). As a result, hippocampal volumes do not capture the entire pattern of brain atrophy in AD or its prodromal stages (Dickerson and Wolk, 2012; Dickerson et al., 2009; Wolk et al., 2010).

Relatively recent literature has shown that pattern analysis methods are powerful diagnostic and predictive tools (Aksu et al., 2011; Costafreda et al., 2011; Davatzikos et al., 2009; Dickerson and Wolk, 2012; Duchesne et al., 2008; Hinrichs et al., 2009; Kloppel et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2004; McEvoy et al., 2009, 2011; Plant et al., 2010; Vemuri et al., 2009b; Wolz et al., 2011). One such index, the SPARE-AD score, calculated using a pattern classification method described in (Davatzikos et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2007), has been previously determined to be a good predictor of MCI to AD conversion (Misra et al., 2009) as well as of conversion from CN to MCI in healthy older adults (Davatzikos et al., 2008b, 2009).

Herein we present analysis of all ADNI-1 baseline images available by March 2013, and subsequently focus on a subset of MCI participants with at least 3-month, and up to 6-year clinical follow-up. We investigate the value of the SPARE-AD index in predicting 3-year stability from baseline scans, as well as its combination with APOE genotype, CSF biomarkers, and ADAS-Cog data. The main contributions of this work are 1) the analysis of 813 participants, providing a large number of subjects for the training and testing datasets and enabled us to establish the value of such pattern analysis methods as highly sensitive and specific imaging biomarkers of AD; 2) the combination of imaging, APOE genotype, CSF biomarkers, and ADAS-Cog allowed us to evaluate individual, as well as combined value of different types of AD biomarkers; 3) a longer follow-up using the larger cohort (mean followup time was 30 months), as opposed to most previous studies using ADNI. Our work largely builds upon the results of the study in Landau et al. (2010), where relative diagnostic and prognostic values of various AD biomarkers were examined on the same ADNI cohort. Our work is different in two respects: 1) we perform extensive survival analysis using data up to a 6-year follow-up period, instead of 1.9 years, thereby assessing the value of various biomarkers for predicting longer-term clinical stability; 2) we use the SPARE-AD score to capture spatial patterns of brain atrophy, which has been shown in several previous studies (and replicated herein) to offer high diagnostic and predictive value on an individual basis.

### 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Subjects

Data from ADNI1 participants [www.adni-info.org] were used. All baseline images available for download on ADNI's website [adni.loni. ucla.edu] in pre-processed forms by March 2013 were included (232 CN individuals, 200 AD, and 381 MCI patients). Subject characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

### 2.2. MRI acquisition

Acquisition of 1.5-T MRI data at each performance site followed a previously described standardized protocol that included a sagittal volumetric 3D MPRAGE with variable resolution around the target of 1.2 mm isotropically. The scans had gone through certain correction methods such as gradwarp, B1 calibration, N3 correction, and (in-house) skull-stripping. See www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI and Jack et al. (2008a) for details.

### 2.3. Collection and analysis of CSF biomarkers

CSF biomarker collection is described in detail under (www.adniinfo.org/ADNIStudyProcedures.aspx). Briefly, lumbar puncture was performed with a 20-gauge or 24-gauge spinal needle as described in the ADNI procedures manual after written informed consent was obtained, as approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at each participating center. A $\beta_{1-42}$ , total tau (t-tau) and tau phosphorylated at residue 181 (p-tau<sub>181</sub>) were measured in each of the 416 CSF ADNI baseline aliquots using the multiplex xMAP Luminex platform (Luminex Corp, Austin, TX) with Innogenetics (INNO-BIA AlzBio3, Ghent, Belgium; for research use only reagents) immunoassay kit-based reagents as described by (Shaw et al., 2009). Abnormal CSF levels were determined via a model combining t-tau, A $\beta_{1-42}$  and p-tau<sub>181p</sub> (Shaw et al., 2009) and pathological A $\beta_{1-42}$  levels were considered to be levels below 192 pg/mL AD-like CSF signature was described by (Shaw et al., 2009).

### 2.4. Image pre-processing

The images were processed with a freely-available pipeline (Davatzikos et al., 2001) (for software, see www.rad.upenn.edu/sbia). Briefly, images were segmented into 3 tissue types: gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). After a high-dimensional image warping to an atlas, regional volumetric maps for GM, WM and CSF were created, referred to herein as RAVENS maps. RAVENS maps are used for voxel-based analysis and group comparisons of regional tissue atrophy, as well as for constructing an index of AD brain morphology.

### Table 1

Characteristics of ADNI1 subjects included in the study.

	AD	CN	MCI
Subjects, n Average age Gender (male/female) Average MMSE Average modified ADAS-Cog (85 point) Percentage having APOE &4 alleles	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 75.6 \pm 7.72\\ 103M, 97F\\ 23.3 \pm 2.05\\ 28.0 \pm 9.51\\ (188)\\ 66.0\% \left(188\right)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 232 \\ 76.0 \pm 5.01 \\ 120M, 112F \\ 29.1 \pm 1.00 \\ 9.5 \pm 4.19 \\ (229) \\ 26.6\% (229) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 381 \\ 74.8 \pm 7.32 \\ 244 M, 137 F \\ 27.0 \pm 1.78 \\ 18.5 \pm 6.64 \\ \\ 54.1\% \end{array}$

Parentheses show the subjects for which both ADAS and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 alleles were available. AD = Alzheimer's disease dementia; APOE = apolipoprotein E; CN = cognitively normal; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MMSE = Mini mental state examination; modified ADAS-Cog = the modified Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale, cognitive subscale.



**Fig. 1.** (a) Visualization of the regions used to build the SPARE-AD index, when all 3 (GM, WM and brain CSF) RAVENS maps were used jointly. (Left) Temporal lobe and hippocampus of the left hemisphere; (right) temporal lobe and hippocampus of the right hemisphere. Images are in radiology convention. The color scale is graded (low to high) based on relevance of different brain regions for classification into AD/CN, herein measured by the frequency by which a region was selected by the 10 models produced by the 10-fold cross-validation. (b) ROC curve and performance graph of AD and CN classification results using GM, WM and brain CSF tissue density maps, obtained via fully cross-validated procedures. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

### 2.5. The SPARE-AD index as morphologic phenotype of AD

SPARE-AD has been extensively described elsewhere (Davatzikos et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2007). For SPARE-AD computation, the method looks for the combination of brain regions, which can form a unique pattern that maximally differentiates between AD and CN and then trains a nonlinear support vector machine (SVM) model that measures this pattern. This model is then evaluated on a new scan: positive values indicating presence of AD-like characteristics and negative values conversely. After determining the classifier that separates AD/CN, this classifier was applied to baseline MCI patients' scans, thereby providing SPARE-AD scores. Although our previous analyses have reported the SPARE-AD score using smaller samples, which had been trained on data from 66 CN individuals and 56 AD patients, all ADNI participants (Fan et al., 2008), we retrained the same algorithm on this significantly larger set of data from 232 CN subjects and 200 AD patients, in order to obtain the best possible stability and generalization potential. SPARE-AD scores were also derived for the CN and AD individuals. However, since these individuals were part of the model's building, their scores were derived using 10-fold cross-validation (10% of the data was left out for the outer loop/test set for testing and assessing the area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, the rest was treated as the training set; parameters were optimized in this 90% of the sample by splitting it into training and validation datasets, using leave-one-out and a parameter grid-search; optimized SVM parameters included kernel size and slackness parameter (C); optimized models were applied exactly as determined from the training set to the remaining 10%, and classifications were recorded. This procedure was repeated 10 times, so that each sample gets a classification score).

### 2.6. Statistical methods

In our survival analysis, we included 381 MCI subjects (mean followup time = 30 months, SD = 18.6, 25th percentile 12 months, median 24 months, 75th percentile 48 months). To perform the survival analysis of various combinations of markers, we utilized a separate linear support vector machine (SVM) (Vapnik, 1998) trained (implemented in weka public domain software (Hall et al., 2009)) using a combination of SPARE-AD scores and other relevant markers such as ADAS-Cog, APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 and CSF biomarkers. This is independent of the SVM trained in the algorithm used for generating SPARE-AD scores. We chose the SVM's slackness parameter (C) using cross-validation while training the classifier on AD and CN; the optimized classifier was then applied to the (separate) MCI set, providing a continuous index between 0 and 1 which was used as a predictor in the survival analysis. Using this continuous index as a predictor, we compared the magnitudes of the association between predictors and time to conversion from MCI



Fig. 2. Survival curves for (a) SPARE-AD index alone; (b) ADAS-Cog alone; (c) the combination of SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog; (d) the combination of SPARE-AD and APOE \$\varepsilon4\$; (e) the combination of ADAS-Cog and APOE \$\varepsilon4\$, and (f) the combination of SPARE-AD, ADAS-Cog and APOE \$\varepsilon4\$, and (f) the combination of SPARE-AD, ADAS-Cog and APOE \$\varepsilon4\$.

to AD using Cox proportional hazards models. Cox models were used: 1) treating the predictor as a continuous measure, and 2) splitting the predictor into quartiles. To compare across models, each of the predictors was standardized by subtracting its mean and dividing by its standard deviation. In a subset of subjects (192 MCI patients, 100 converted

to AD) who also had CSF biomarkers, the aforementioned survival analysis was repeated, albeit now considering combinations of markers including CSF biomarkers. For each pair-wise comparison, we tested for differences in the effects of two predictors using the cross-model testing method described by Weesie (Weesie, 1999) with Cox proportional

### Table 2

Hazard ratios of MCI to AD progression by standardized predictors in 381 MCI individuals.

	SPA	RE-AD		ADA	IS		SPAR	E-AD + ADA	AS	SPAR	E-AD + APO	DE ε4	ADA	AS + APOE ε	4	SPAR AD +	e- - Adas + A	POE ε4
	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р
Continuous Quartiles	2.2	(1.8,2.6)	<0.001 <0.001	2.0	(1.7,2.4)	<0.001 <0.001	2.8	(2.2,3.6)	<0.001 <0.001	2.6	(2.0,3.2)	<0.001 <0.001	2.1	(1.7,2.4)	<0.001 <0.001	2.9	(2.2,3.6)	<0.001 <0.001
2nd quartile 3rd quartile 4th quartile	3.2 5.8 8.1	(1.8,5.5) (3.4,9.8) (4.7,14.0)		3.3 4.9 6.7	(1.9,5.8) (2.9,8.4) (4.0,11.5)		4.7 9.0 13.6	(2.5,8.9) (4.8,16.6) (7.3,25.2)		4.4 6.2 10.6	(2.5,7.8) (3.5,10.9) (5.9,18.9)		4.3 6.1 9.0	(2.4,7.7) (3.4,10.8) (5.1,15.8)		5.8 9.7 17.8	(3.0,11.3) (5.0,18.7) (9.2,34.4)	

### Table 3

	o-Values comparing magnitudes of	association between	(continuous) predictor and	outcome using 381 MCI individuals.
--	----------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

	SPARE-AD	ADAS	SPARE-AD + ADAS	SPARE-AD + APOE $\epsilon 4$	$ADAS + APOE\epsilon 4$	SPARE-AD + ADAS + APOE $\epsilon$ 4
SPARE-AD		0.865	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.873	<0.001
ADAS	0.865		< 0.001	0.052	0.491	<0.001
SPARE-AD + ADAS	< 0.001	< 0.001		0.209	0.002	0.638
SPARE-AD + APOE $\varepsilon 4$	< 0.001	0.052	0.209		0.078	0.128
ADAS + APOE $\varepsilon 4$	0.873	0.491	0.002	0.078		< 0.001
SPARE-AD + ADAS + APOE $\epsilon$ 4	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.638	0.128	< 0.001	

hazards models on time to conversion from MCI to AD. Besides the two predictor values for each subject, the cross-model testing procedure requires us to include the observed survival time twice for a given subject in the Cox model. Since each pair-wise comparison model included two correlated outcomes per subject from each of the two predictors, robust sandwich-type estimators to account for clustering (correlation) within subject were used to estimate variances. Wald tests were used to test for significant differences, which would indicate that the two predictors had significantly different hazard ratios (HR) of time to conversion. Finally, Kaplan–Meier survival function estimates were plotted using quartiles of each predictor. All Cox models were adjusted for age, gender, and education covariates. All statistical tests were two-sided. Statistical significance was set at <0.05 level. Statistical analyses were conducted using STATA version 12.0 (StatCorp; College Station, TX) software.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. SPARE-AD as an MRI marker of AD

The best MRI-based diagnostic accuracy was achieved by jointly considering the RAVENS maps of GM, WM and CSF, thereby forming a SPARE-AD score by evaluating regional patterns of atrophy and ventricular enlargement. 3D visualizations (Fig. 1) help appreciate brain regions participating in the diagnostic model (temporal horn and hippocampal regions are not directly visible). Many temporal lobe brain regions, as well as CSF regions largely being part of the temporal horn of the ventricles, were used for evaluating the spatial pattern of brain atrophy and ventricular expansion that was most distinctive of AD patients. The 10-fold cross-validated ROC curve obtained using the SPARE-AD score, is also shown in Fig. 1.

### 3.2. MCI survival analysis

Survival curves for the SPARE-AD index alone, ADAS-Cog alone, the combination of SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog, the combination of SPARE-AD and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4, the combination of ADAS-Cog and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4, and the combination of SPARE-AD, ADAS-Cog and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4, all in quartiles, are shown in Fig. 2. The plots show that those in the 1st (lowest) quartile of predictor values have the lowest risk of conversion from MCI to AD at any given time, and for higher quartiles, the risk of conversion at any given time increases. Furthermore, compared to predictors based on individual markers, predictors based on a combination of markers

show greater separation of survival curves, particularly of the 1st quartile from other quartiles. MCI subjects had variable follow-up length (mean = 30 months, SD = 18.6, median = 24 months); out of 381 MCI subjects, 188 progressed to AD (mean = 23 months, SD = 14.5, median = 18 months). All of the 193 subjects who did not develop AD were considered right-censored at last follow-up and included in the analysis. Adjusted associations between different combinations of markers and time from MCI to AD conversion are shown in Table 2. For each predictor, adjusted hazard ratios (HR) from two Cox models are shown: 1) treating predictor as continuous, and 2) splitting predictor into quartiles. The HR for continuous measures represent the risk of converting to AD from MCI at any given time point for a one unit increase in the predictor value, given that age, gender, and education are held constant. For models using quartiles, the reference group is the 1st quartile. All predictors have a significant (p < 0.001) association with time to conversion from MCI to AD. As the value of each predictor increases, the hazard of conversion increases, keeping age, gender, and education constant. The p-values from the tests comparing the different predictors across models are shown in Table 3. There was no significant difference (p = 0.865) between the adjusted HR of time to conversion from SPARE-AD (HR = 2.2) and the adjusted HR from ADAS-Cog (HR = 2.0). The combination of SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog was better than either of the individual models in predicting time to conversion (each p < 0.001). The inclusion of APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 to SPARE-AD significantly improved prediction of time to conversion (p < 0.001), whereas the inclusion of APOE £4 to ADAS-Cog did not yield significant improvement (p = 0.491). Compared to the prediction of time to conversion based on the combination of SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog, the inclusion of APOE  $\epsilon$ 4 presence did not significantly improve prediction (p = 0.638). The analogous survival analysis in the smaller sample also having CSF biomarkers is presented in Tables 4 and 5. Based on the comparison between the models (Table 5), adding APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4, CSF, or the combination of both markers did not significantly improve any predictions of time to conversion.

### 3.3. SPARE-scores in MCI stratified by CSF $A\beta_{1-42}$

Finally, we studied the relationship between AD-like CSF signature (Shaw et al., 2009) and longitudinal clinical diagnosis with SPARE-AD. In order to evaluate the relationship between brain atrophy and amyloid burden, the values of SPARE-AD were examined in a subset of MCI individuals who either converted to AD within at most 18 months (short converters, MCI-SC) or remained stable for at least 36 months

### Table 4

Hazard ratios of MCI to AD progression by standardized predictors using subset of 192 with CSF.

	SPARE	-AD + ADAS		SPARE-AD + ADAS + APOE $\epsilon$ 4		SPARE	-AD + ADAS +	CSF	$\begin{array}{l} \text{SPARE-AD} + \text{ADAS} + \text{APOE} \\ \epsilon 4 + \text{CSF} \end{array}$			
	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р	HR	95% CI	р
Continuous Quartiles	2.5	(1.7,3.4)	<0.001 <0.001	2.5	(1.8,3.5)	<0.001 <0.001	2.7	(1.9,3.8)	<0.001 <0.001	2.6	(1.8,3.7)	<0.001 <0.001
2nd quartile 3rd quartile 4th quartile	2.9 5.2 8.7	(1.3,6.4) (2.4,11.4) (4.0,18.8)		3.2 5.2 10.8	(1.4,7.1) (2.4,11.6) (4.9,23.8)		3.5 5.6 9.3	(1.6,7.7) (2.6,11.9) (4.4,19.9)		4.6 5.8 11.5	(2.1,10.2) (2.6,12.8) (5.2,25.4)	

Table 5

p-Values comparing magnitudes	of association between	(continuous)	predictor and	l outcome,	, using su	bsample v	vith CSF	availat	ole.
-------------------------------	------------------------	--------------	---------------	------------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	------

	SPARE-AD + ADAS	$\text{SPARE-AD} + \text{ADAS} + \text{APOE}\epsilon 4$	SPARE-AD + ADAS + CSF	$SPARE-AD + ADAS + APOE\epsilon 4 + CSF$
SPARE-AD + ADAS		0.533	0.205	0.271
SPARE-AD + ADAS + APOE $\varepsilon 4$	0.533		0.229	0.271
SPARE-AD + ADAS + CSF	0.205	0.229		0.400
$SPARE-AD + ADAS + APOE \epsilon 4 + CSF$	0.271	0.271	0.400	

(long term stable, MCI-LS). In particular, out of this subset of MCI patients (MCI-LS plus MCI-SC), 28 (6 MCI-SC and 22 MCI-LS) had normal  $A\beta_{1-42}$  levels (>192 pg/mL) and 84 (48 MCI-SC and 36 MCI-LS) had pathological A $\beta_{1-42}$  levels ( $\leq$ 192 pg/mL). We tested if the SPARE-AD score was associated with the presence of pathological CSF values or the longitudinal clinical diagnosis using a linear regression analysis. Both MCI-SC clinical diagnosis (t = 4.96, p < 0.0001) and AD-like CSF  $A\beta_{1-42}$  levels (t = 2.34, p = 0.02) were associated with higher SPARE-AD scores. Having a MCI-SC diagnosis (Beta = 0.65) was associated with a larger effect size than the presence of low  $A\beta_{1-42}$  levels (Beta = 0.36) (Fig. 3). There was no interaction between clinical and CSF group (t = -1.92, p = 0.058). Mean group values are presented in Table 6; SPARE-AD values were significantly different between MCI-LS and MCI-SC which had normal  $A\beta_{1-42}$  levels, underlying the high predictive value of SPARE-AD in this amyloid-negative group. Nevertheless, subjects with normal  $A\beta_{1-42}$  levels showed distinct changes compared to those with pathological levels (Fig. 4(a)). 3D renderings of group differences between MCI-LS and MCI-SC are shown in Fig. 4(b) for both the positive and the negative amyloid groups.

### 4. Discussion



The present study evaluated the integration and relative value of spatial patterns of brain atrophy (SPARE-AD index), CSF biomarkers,

**Fig. 3.** Violin plot depicting baseline SPARE-AD scores stratified by clinical diagnosis, MCI-SC (blue) and MCI-LS (red), and presence or absence of AD-like CSF  $A\beta_{1-42}$  values. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

measures of cognitive performance (ADAS-Cog), along with APOE genotype, in predicting the individual risk of converting from MCI to AD. Moreover, the value of SPARE-AD as an MRI-derived marker of ADlike atrophy was further investigated in a cohort of CN individuals and AD patients, and was found to display excellent sensitivity and specificity in classifying AD patients, with a cross-validated AUC of 0.98 in the hold-out test set. As baseline predictors of conversion to AD, SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog were of similar predictive value, and their combination significantly improved the ability to predict risk of conversion to AD (Hazard ratio of 13.6 between top and bottom quartiles) compared with either of the predictors alone. Adding APOE genotype to the combination of SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog further improved the predictive ability (Hazard ratio of 17.8 between top and bottom guartiles), albeit the improvement was not statistically significant. This is consistent with APOE £4 being a risk factor for AD, however its value for individual patient predictions is limited (Aguilar et al., 2013; Foster et al., 2013). CSF offered marginal improvement to predictive power, which was not statistically significant (Vemuri et al., 2009a; Walhovd et al., 2010; Westman et al., 2012).

Our survival analysis complements similar analyses (McEvoy et al., 2011; Vemuri et al., 2009b), yet obtains better baseline-based prediction using the combination of SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog. Our results also complement several studies that used a specific follow-up time as cut-off for dichotomous conversion/stability outcome (Aksu et al., 2011; Fan et al., 2008; Kloppel et al., 2008; Plant et al., 2010; Vemuri et al., 2008, 2009b), albeit those results are not directly comparable to ours as we do not have such dichotomous classification depending on some pre-defined and somewhat arbitrary length of conversion time (Hinrichs et al., 2011; Plant et al., 2010).

The relatively limited value of CSF biomarkers alone, especially of A<sub>β1-42</sub>, in predicting clinical progression could be argued to reflect a potential ceiling effect in amyloid deposition in the brain in early disease stages (Fleisher et al., 2012; Jack et al., 2010a, 2013a, 2013b; Toledo et al., 2013c), beyond which actual amyloid levels do not have predictive value, whereas subsequent atrophy is a better predictor. Alternatively, other neurodegenerative and vascular conditions in addition to amyloid plaque deposition can potentially account for the cognitive symptoms in MCI patients with normal  $A\beta_{1-42}$  and p-tau<sub>181</sub> values (Schneider et al., 2009). Importantly, the predictive value of amyloid might be higher during early disease stages, which underlines the need for building dynamic imaging markers in AD, since predictive value of various markers is likely to depend on disease stage. The lack of additive value for the tau markers over SPARE-AD is somewhat expected, as tau levels and brain atrophy tend to correlate well (Toledo et al., 2013b), and potentially MRI-derived SPARE-AD index more directly captures neurodegeneration. However, one might have expected

### Table 6

SPARE-AD values were significantly different between MCI-SC and MCI-LS both for the A $\beta_{1-42}$ -normal MCI patients (top; p=0.0008) and for A $\beta_{1-42}$ -pathological MCI patients (bottom; p=0.0005).

	SPARE-AD mean (St dev)	
	MCI-SC	MCI-LS
$\begin{array}{l} A\beta_{1-42} > 192 \ pg/mL \ (normal) \\ A\beta_{1-42} < 192 \ pg/mL \ (pathological) \end{array}$	1.31 (0.51) 1.20 (0.59)	0.13 (0.71) 0.67 (0.72)



(b)



**Fig. 4.** (a) Maps of the p value produced by optimally-discriminative voxel-based analysis (ODVBA) (Zhang and Davatzikos, 2011) showing differences between MCI-LS and MCI-SC based on the normal  $A\beta_{1-42}$  subsample. Significantly more GM atrophy for hippocampus, prefrontal lobe and precuneus in MCI-SC relative to MCI-LS. The maps were thresholded at the p = 0.01 level. (b) 3D renderings of statistically significant differences between MCI-LS and MCI-SC. normal  $A\beta_{1-42}$  subsample (right); pathological  $A\beta_{1-42}$  subsample (left). The maps were thresholded at the p = 0.01 level.

higher predictive value of tau markers alone, relatively to what we found.

An intriguing finding of our study was that in amyloid-negative MCI patients, positive SPARE-AD values were predictive of conversion indicating that SPARE-AD captures a pattern of atrophy that characterizes clinical AD cases and is able to predict clinical changes in amnestic MCI subjects with a non AD-like CSF signature. In particular, AD-like patterns of brain atrophy were more pronounced in MCI-SC relative to MCI-LS (p = 0.0008), and included regions such as the precuneus, which show early changes in AD. This finding adds to a number of recent findings that indicate that considerable percentage of both cognitively normal older adults (Driscoll et al., 2011; Wirth et al., 2013) and preclinical AD (Jack et al., 2012) have atrophy in regions affected by AD without the presence of amyloid. An extensive review of the literature on the relationship between amyloid burden, AD-like brain atrophy and cognitive function can be found in (Fjell et al., 2010), where considerable concerns about the widely accepted amyloid hypothesis, and therefore about the utility of amyloid markers in predicting clinical progression, are discussed based on a number of findings from the literature. However, this finding can potentially be due to false negatives in the Luminex platform, i.e., assumed amyloid-negative individuals might actually have amyloid, or due to the presence of a different neurodegenerative mechanism with similar pattern of atrophy and clinical manifestation as AD. Moreover, the number of amyloid-negative MCI individuals was small, hence these findings should be replicated in a larger sample. Longitudinal studies in cognitively normal older adults are necessary to elucidate potential dynamic interplays and causal relationships between amyloid deposition, neuronal death, and cognitive decline, or perhaps to discover other mechanisms that lead independently to both amyloid deposition and neuronal death.

The spatial pattern of brain atrophy that differed between MCI-SC and MCI-LS (Fig. 4) was in agreement with other literature in the field using analogous methods (Whitwell et al., 2008). However, in addition to temporal and posterior parietal regions, our study identified significant prefrontal and orbitofrontal atrophy, especially in amyloid-negative subsample. 10% or more of the cases with a clinical diagnosis of AD do not have an underlying AD when assessed in neuropathological studies (Nelson et al., 2012; Toledo et al., 2012) and this percentage increases in the MCI stage. Because CSF biomarkers show a good correlation with AD pathology in the brain (Tapiola et al., 2009), it is possible that some amyloidnegative MCI individuals have a frontotemporal lobar degeneration and therefore these patients can show a different pattern of atrophy. This would be in agreement with independent studies comparing AD and frontotemporal dementia patients (Davatzikos et al., 2008c; McMillan et al., 2013). In addition, several different pathologies can be present in a single subject as we recently described in a small subset of ADNI subjects that came to autopsy that coincident pathologies are a common finding (Toledo et al., 2013a).

The predictive value of SPARE-AD in MCI individuals complements earlier studies that found similar AD-like patterns of brain atrophy being predictive of cognitive decline in cognitively normal older adults (Clark et al., 2012b; Dickerson and Wolk, 2012). Particularly relevant is our previous study (Clark et al., 2012b), since it used the exact same image analysis and SPARE-AD index. In that prospective longitudinal study of aging over an 8-year period, the rate of change of SPARE-AD was highly predictive of conversion from cognitively normal to MCI, with a cross-validated AUC of 0.89. These patterns of brain atrophy are therefore likely to progress slowly, yet steadily, many years before they eventually lead to MCI and then to dementia. Methods for capturing such relatively complex atrophy patterns, and combining them with measures of cognitive decline, are therefore important biomarkers of very early AD, potentially at stages in which interventions might be more effective.

### 5. Conclusion

We found that SPARE-AD, which quantifies spatial patterns of brain atrophy using pattern classification, was a highly sensitive and specific imaging marker of AD (cross-validated AUC = 0.98 in a cohort of 432 AD/CN individuals). Moreover, combination of SPARE-AD, ADAS-Cog and APOE genotype provided excellent predictive value in a cohort of 381 MCI individuals followed for a variable period of up to 6 years (HR = 17.8 between top and bottom quartiles), albeit the additive value of APOE ɛ4 presence was not statistically significant over the combination of SPARE-AD and ADAS-Cog. In addition to having implications for enrollment in clinical trials, these findings are becoming increasingly important in clinical settings where a variety of biomarkers are available. Thus, being able to provide prognostic information, including the timeframe of potential change, is of obvious importance in discussions with patients. Finally, the present findings related to CSF  $A\beta_{1-42}$  negative MCI patients also speak to questions concerning the proposed cascade of biomarker change and the pathophysiologic process of AD. Longitudinal studies starting relatively earlier in life would be necessary for deeper understanding of the dynamics of AD progression.

### Data and software availability

All SPARE-AD scores used herein have been uploaded to http://adni. loni.ucla.edu/. All image processing software used to derive SPARE-AD, importantly the DRAMMS deformable registration and COMPARE classification pipelines, are freely available for download under http://www. rad.upenn.edu/sbia, and involve fully automated procedures.

### **Disclosure statement**

The authors disclose no actual or potential conflicts of interest. Written informed consent was obtained for participation in these studies, as approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at each participating center.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NIH grants R01-AG-14971, AG10124, AG24904, AG028018, AG040271, NIMH T32MH065218, and the Alfonso Martín Escudero Foundation. Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging and the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen Idec Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; GE Healthcare; Innogenetics, N.V.; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Medpace, Inc.; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Synarc Inc.; and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are Rev November 7, 2012 facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of California, Los Angeles. This research was also supported by NIH grants P30 AG010129 and K01 AG030514.

### References

- Adaszewski, S., Dukart, J., Kherif, F., Frackowiak, R., Draganski, B., 2013. How early can we predict Alzheimer's disease using computational anatomy? Neurobiol. Aging 34, 2815–2826.
- Aguilar, C., Westman, E., Muehlboeck, J., Mecocci, P., Vellas, B., Tsolaki, M., Kloszewska, I., Soininen, H., Lovestone, S., Spenger, C., 2013. Different multivariate techniques for automated classification of MRI data in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging 212, 89–98.
- Aksu, Y., Miller, D.J., Kesidis, G., Bigler, D.C., Yang, Q.X., 2011. An MRI-derived definition of MCI-to-AD conversion for long-term, automatic prognosis of MCI patients. PLoS ONE 6, e25074.
- Albert, M.S., Dekosky, S.T., Dickson, D., Dubois, B., Feldman, H.H., Fox, N.C., Gamst, A., Holtzman, D.M., Jagust, W.J., Petersen, R.C., Snyder, P.J., Carrillo, M.C., Thies, B., Phelps, C.H., 2011. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement, 7, 270–279.
- Becker, J.T., Mintun, M.A., Aleva, K., Wiseman, M., Nichols, T., DeKosky, S.T., 1996. Compensatory reallocation of brain resources supporting verbal episodic memory in Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 46, 692–700.
- Clark, C.M., Pontecorvo, M.J., Beach, T.G., Bedell, B.J., Coleman, R.E., Doraiswamy, P.M., Fleisher, A.S., Reiman, E.M., Sabbagh, M.N., Sadowsky, C.H., Schneider, J.A., Arora, A., Carpenter, A.P., Flitter, M.L., Joshi, A.D., Krautkramer, M.J., Lu, M., Mintun, M.A., Skovronsky, D.M., 2012a. Cerebral PET with florbetapir compared with neuropathology at autopsy for detection of neuritic amyloid-beta plaques: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Neurol. 11, 669–678.
- Clark, V.H., Resnick, S.M., Doshi, J., Beason-Held, L.L., Zhou, Y., Ferrucci, L., Wong, D.F., Kraut, M.A., Davatzikos, C., 2012b. Longitudinal imaging pattern analysis (SPARE-CD index) detects early structural and functional changes before cognitive decline in healthy older adults. Neurobiol. Aging 33, 2733–2745.
- Costafreda, S.G., Dinov, I.D., Tu, Z., Shi, Y., Liu, C.-Y., Kloszewska, I., Mecocci, P., Soininen, H., Tsolaki, M., Vellas, B., Wahlund, L-O., Spenger, C., Toga, A.W., Lovestone, S., Simmons, A., 2011. Automated hippocampal shape analysis predicts the onset of dementia in mild cognitive impairment. Neuroimage 56, 212–219.
- Davatzikos, C., Genc, A., Xu, D., Resnick, S.M., 2001. Voxel-based morphometry using the RAVENS maps: methods and validation using simulated longitudinal atrophy. Neuroimage 14, 1361–1369.
- Davatzikos, C., Fan, Y., Wu, X., Shen, D., Resnick, S.M., 2008a. Detection of prodromal Alzheimer's disease via pattern classification of magnetic resonance imaging. Neurobiol. Aging 29, 514–523.
- Davatzikos, C., Resnick, S., Wu, X., Parmpi, P., Clark, C., 2008b. Individual patient diagnosis of AD and FTD via high-dimensional pattern classification of MRI. Neuroimage 41, 1220.
- Davatzikos, C., Borthakur, A., Xu, F., Wu, X., Parmpi, E., Sochor, M., Clark, C.M., 2008c. Integration of MRI Measures of Atrophy and Protein Aggregation with CSF Biomarkers of AD Pathology in Individuals with Mild Cognitive Impairment. International Conference on Alzheimer's Disease, Chicago, IL.
- Davatzikos, C., Xu, F., An, Y., Fan, Y., Resnick, S., 2009. Longitudinal progression of Alzheimer's-like patterns of atrophy in normal older adults: the SPARE-AD index. Brain 132, 2026–2035.
- Davatzikos, C., Bhatt, P., Shaw, L.M., Batmanghelich, K.N., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2011. Prediction of MCI to AD conversion, via MRI, CSF biomarkers, and pattern classification. Neurobiol. Aging 32, 2322.e2319–2322.e2327.
- Dickerson, B.C., Wolk, D.A., 2012. MRI cortical thickness biomarker predicts AD-like CSF and cognitive decline in normal adults. Neurology 78, 84–90.
- Dickerson, B.C., Bakkour, A., Salat, D.H., Feczko, E., Pacheco, J., Greve, D.N., Grodstein, F., Wright, C.I., Blacker, D., Rosas, H.D., 2009. The cortical signature of Alzheimer's disease: regionally specific cortical thinning relates to symptom severity in very mild to mild AD dementia and is detectable in asymptomatic amyloid-positive individuals. Cereb. Cortex 19, 497–510.
- Driscoll, I., Davatzikos, C., An, Y., Wu, X., Shen, D., Kraut, M., Resnick, S.M., 2009. Longitudinal pattern of regional brain volume change differentiates normal aging from MCI. Neurology 72, 1906–1913.
- Driscoll, I., Zhou, Y., An, Y., Sojkova, J., Davatzikos, C., Kraut, M.A., Ye, W., Ferrucci, L., Mathis, C.A., Klunk, W.E., Wong, D.F., Resnick, S.M., 2011. Lack of association between 11C-PiB and longitudinal brain atrophy in non-demented older individuals. Neurobiol. Aging 32, 2123–2130.
- Duchesne, S., Caroli, A., Geroldi, C., Barillot, C., Frisoni, G.B., Collins, D.L., 2008. MRI-based automated computer classification of probable AD versus normal controls. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 27, 509–520.
- Eskildsen, S.F., Coupé, P., García-Lorenzo, D., Fonov, V., Pruessner, J.C., Collins, D.L., 2013. Prediction of Alzheimer's disease in subjects with mild cognitive impairment from the ADNI cohort using patterns of cortical thinning. Neuroimage 65, 511–521.
- Fagan, A.M., Mintun, M.A., Shah, A.R., Aldea, P., Roe, C.M., Mach, R.H., Marcus, D., Morris, J.C., Holtzman, D.M., 2009. Cerebrospinal fluid tau and ptau(181) increase with cortical amyloid deposition in cognitively normal individuals: implications for future clinical trials of Alzheimer's disease. EMBO Mol. Med. 1, 371–380.
- Fan, Y., Shen, D., Gur, R.C., Gur, R.E., Davatzikos, C., 2007. COMPARE: classification of morphological patterns using adaptive regional elements. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 26, 93–105.

- Fan, Y., Batmanghelich, N., Clark, C.M., Davatzikos, C., the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2008. Spatial patterns of brain atrophy in MCI patients, identified via high-dimensional pattern classification, predict subsequent cognitive decline. Neuroimage 39, 1731–1743 (one of the top 10 cited papers of 2008).
- Fjell, A.M., Walhovd, K.B., Fennema-Notestine, C., McEvoy, L.K., Hagler, D.J., Holland, D., Brewer, I.B., Dale, A.M., 2010, CSF biomarkers in prediction of cerebral and clinical change in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci, 30, 2088-2101.
- Fleisher, A.S., Chen, K., Quiroz, Y.T., Jakimovich, L.J., Gomez, M.G., Langois, C.M., Langbaum, J.B., Ayutyanont, N., Roontiva, A., Thiyyagura, P., Lee, W., Mo, H., Lopez, L., Moreno, S., Acosta-Baena, N., Giraldo, M., Garcia, G., Reiman, R.A., Huentelman, M.J., Kosik, K.S., Tariot, P.N., Lopera, F., Reiman, E.M., 2012. Florbetapir PET analysis of amyloid-beta deposition in the presenilin 1 E280A autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease kindred: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Neurol. 11, 1057-1065.
- Foster, J.K., Albrecht, M.A., Savage, G., Lautenschlager, N.T., Ellis, K.A., Maruff, P., Szoeke, C., Taddei, K., Martins, R., Masters, C.L., 2013, Lack of reliable evidence for a distinctive E4-related cognitive phenotype that is independent from clinical diagnostic status: findings from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study, Brain 136, 2201-2216
- Fox, N., Schott, J., 2004. Imaging cerebral atrophy: normal ageing to Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 363, 392-394.
- Fox, N., Warrington, E., Freeborough, P., Hartikainen, P., Kennedy, A., Stevens, J., Rossor, M., 1996. Presymptomatic hippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease. A longitudinal MRI study. Brain 119, 2001–2007.
- Gomar, J.J., Bobes-Bascaran, M.T., Conejero-Goldberg, C., Davies, P., Goldberg, T.E., 2011. Utility of combinations of biomarkers, cognitive markers, and risk factors to predict conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer disease in patients in the Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 68, 961.
- Hall, M., Frank, E., Holmes, G., Pfahringer, B., Reutemann, P., Witten, I.H., 2009. The WEKA data mining software: an update. ACM SIGKDD Explor. Newsl. 11, 10-18.
- Hampel, H., Blennow, K., Shaw, L.M., Hoessler, Y.C., Zetterberg, H., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2010a. Total and phosphorylated tau protein as biological markers of Alzheimer's disease. Exp. Gerontol. 45, 30-40.
- Hampel, H., Shen, Y., Walsh, D.M., Aisen, P., Shaw, L.M., Zetterberg, H., Trojanowski, J.Q., Blennow, K., 2010b. Biological markers of amyloid *β*-related mechanisms in Alzheimer's disease. Exp. Neurol. 223, 334-346.
- Hinrichs, C., Singh, V., Mukherjee, L., Xu, G., Chung, M.K., Johnson, S.C., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2009. Spatially augmented LPBoosting for AD classification with evaluations on the ADNI dataset. Neuroimage 48, 138-149.
- Hinrichs, C., Singh, V., Xu, G., Johnson, S.C., 2011. Predictive markers for AD in a multimodality framework: an analysis of MCI progression in the ADNI population. Neuroimage 55, 574-589.
- Hurd, M.D., Martorell, P., Delavande, A., Mullen, K.J., Langa, K.M., 2013. Monetary costs of dementia in the United States. N. Engl. J. Med. 368, 1326-1334.
- Ikonomovic, M.D., Klunk, W.E., Abrahamson, E.E., Mathis, C.A., Price, J.C., Tsopelas, N.D., Lopresti, B.J., Ziolko, S., Bi, W., Paljug, W.R., Debnath, M.L., Hope, C.E., Isanski, B.A., Hamilton, R.L., DeKosky, S.T., 2008. Post-mortem correlates of in vivo PiB-PET amyloid imaging in a typical case of Alzheimer's disease. Brain 131, 1630-1645.
- Jack, C.J., Petersen, R., O'Brien, P., Tangalos, E., 1992. MR-based hippocampal volumetry in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 42, 183-188
- Jack, C.J., Slomkowski, M., Gracon, S., Hoover, T., Felmlee, J., Stewart, K., Xu, Y., Shiung, M., O'Brien, P., Cha, R., Knopman, D., Petersen, R., 2003. MRI as a biomarker of disease progression in a therapeutic trial of milameline for AD. Neurology 60, 253-260.
- Jack, C.R., Lowe, V.J., Senjem, M.L., Weigand, S.D., Kemp, B.J., Shiung, M.M., Knopman, D.S., Boeve, B.F., Klunk, W.E., Mathis, C.A., 2008a. 11C PiB and structural MRI provide complementary information in imaging of Alzheimer's disease and amnestic mild cognitive impairment. Brain 131, 665-680.
- Jack, C.R., Bernstein, M.A., Fox, N.C., Thompson, P., Alexander, G., Harvey, D., Borowski, B., Britson, P.J., Whitwell, J.L., Ward, C., Dale, A.M., Felmlee, J.P., Gunter, J.L., Hill, D.L.G., Killiany, R., Schuff, N., Fox-Bosetti, S., Lin, C., Studholme, C., DeCarli, C.S., Gunnar, K., Ward, H.A., Metzger, G.J., Scott, K.T., Mallozzi, R., Blezek, D., Levy, J., Debbins, J.P., Fleisher, A.S., Albert, M., Green, R., Bartzokis, G., Glover, G., Mugler, J., Weiner, M.W., 2008b. The Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (ADNI): MRI methods. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 27, 685-691.
- Jack Jr., C.R., Knopman, D.S., Jagust, W.J., Shaw, L.M., Aisen, P.S., Weiner, M.W., Petersen, R.C., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2010a. Hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers of the Alzheimer's pathological cascade. Lancet Neurol. 9, 119-128.
- Jack Jr., C.R., Wiste, H.J., Vemuri, P., Weigand, S.D., Senjem, M.L., Zeng, G., Bernstein, M.A., Gunter, J.L., Pankratz, V.S., Aisen, P.S., Weiner, M.W., Petersen, R.C., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Knopman, D.S., 2010b. Brain beta-amyloid measures and magnetic resonance imaging atrophy both predict time-to-progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease. Brain 133, 3336-3348.
- Jack, C.R., Knopman, D.S., Weigand, S.D., Wiste, H.J., Vemuri, P., Lowe, V., Kantarci, K., Gunter, J.L., Senjem, M.L., Ivnik, R.J., Roberts, R.O., Rocca, W.A., Boeve, B.F., Petersen, R.C., 2012. An operational approach to National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association criteria for preclinical Alzheimer disease. Ann. Neurol. 71, 765-775.
- Jack, C.R., Wiste, H.J., Lesnick, T.G., Weigand, S.D., Knopman, D.S., Vemuri, P., Pankratz, V.S., Senjem, M.L., Gunter, J.L., Mielke, M.M., 2013a. Brain β-amyloid load approaches a plateau. Neurology 80, 890-896.
- Jack Jr., C.R., Knopman, D.S., Jagust, W.J., Petersen, R.C., Weiner, M.W., Aisen, P.S., Shaw, L.M., Vemuri, P., Wiste, H.J., Weigand, S.D., 2013b. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. Lancet Neurol. 12, 207-216.
- Kloppel, S., Stonnington, C.M., Chu, C., Draganski, B., Scahill, R.I., Rohrer, J.D., Fox, N.C., Jack Jr., C.R., Ashburner, J., Frackowiak, R.S.J., 2008. Automatic classification of MR scans in Alzheimer's disease. Brain 131, 681-689.

- Landau, S.M., Harvey, D., Madison, C.M., Reiman, E.M., Foster, N.L., Aisen, P.S., Petersen, R.C., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Jack Jr., C.R., Weiner, M.W., Jagust, W.J., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2010. Comparing predictors of conversion and decline in mild cognitive impairment. Neurology 75, 230-238.
- Liu, Y., Teverovskiy, L., Carmichael, O., Kikinis, R., Shenton, M., Carter, C., Stenger, V., Davis, S., Aizenstein, H., Becker, J., 2004, Discriminative MR image feature analysis for automatic schizophrenia and alzheimer's disease classification. Med. Image Comput. Comput. Assist. Interv. 393-401.
- Manly, J.J., Tang, M.X., Schupf, N., Stern, Y., Vonsattel, J.P., Mayeux, R., 2008. Frequency and course of mild cognitive impairment in a multiethnic community. Ann. Neurol. 63, 494-506
- McEvoy, L.K., Fennema-Notestine, C., Roddey, J.C., Hagler Jr., D.J., Holland, D., Karow, D.S., Pung, C.J., Brewer, J.B., Dale, A.M., 2009. Alzheimer disease: quantitative structural neuroimaging for detection and prediction of clinical and structural changes in mild cognitive impairment. Radiology 251, 195-205.
- McEvoy, L.K., Holland, D., Hagler, D.J., Fennema-Notestine, C., Brewer, J.B., Dale, A.M., 2011. Mild cognitive impairment: baseline and longitudinal structural MR imaging measures improve predictive prognosis. Radiology 259, 834-843.
- McMillan, C.T., Avants, B., Irwin, D.J., Toledo, J.B., Wolk, D.A., Van Deerlin, V.M., Shaw, L.M., Trojanoswki, J.Q., Grossman, M., 2013. Can MRI screen for CSF biomarkers in neurodegenerative disease? Neurology 80, 132-138.
- Misra, C., Fan, Y., Davatzikos, C., 2009. Baseline and longitudinal patterns of brain atrophy in MCI patients, and their use in prediction of short-term conversion to AD: results from ADNI. Neuroimage 44, 1415-1422.
- Nelson, P.T., Alafuzoff, I., Bigio, E.H., Bouras, C., Braak, H., Cairns, N.J., Castellani, R.J., Crain, B.J., Davies, P., Del Tredici, K., 2012. Correlation of Alzheimer disease neuropathologic changes with cognitive status: a review of the literature. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 71 362
- Petersen, R.C., Roberts, R.O., Knopman, D.S., Boeve, B.F., Geda, Y.E., Ivnik, R.J., Smith, G.E., Jack Jr., C.R., 2009. Mild cognitive impairment: ten years later. Arch. Neurol. 66, 1447-1455
- Plant, C., Teipel, S.J., Oswald, A., Böhm, C., Meindl, T., Mourao-Miranda, J., Bokde, A.W., Hampel, H., Ewers, M., 2010. Automated detection of brain atrophy patterns based on MRI for the prediction of Alzheimer's disease. Neuroimage 50, 162.
- Schneider, J.A., Arvanitakis, Z., Leurgans, S.E., Bennett, D.A., 2009. The neuropathology of probable Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. Ann. Neurol. 66, 200-208
- Schuff, N., Woerner, N., Boreta, L., Kornfield, T., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Thompson, P.M., Jack Jr., C.R., Weiner, M.W., 2009. MRI of hippocampal volume loss in early Alzheimer's disease in relation to ApoE genotype and biomarkers. Brain 132, 1067-1077
- Shaw, L.M., Vanderstichele, H., Knapik-Czajka, M., Clark, C.M., Aisen, P.S., Petersen, R.C., Blennow, K., Soares, H., Simon, A., Lewczuk, P., Dean, R., Siemers, E., Potter, W., Lee, V.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2009. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker signature in Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative subjects. Ann. Neurol. 65. 403-413
- Silverman, D.H., Small, G.W., Chang, C.Y., Lu, C.S., de Aburto, M.A.K., Chen, W., Czernin, J., Rapoport, S.I., Pietrini, P., Alexander, G.E., 2001. Positron emission tomography in evaluation of dementia: regional brain metabolism and long-term outcome. JAMA 286. 2120-2127
- Tapiola, T., Alafuzoff, I., Herukka, S.-K., Parkkinen, L., Hartikainen, P., Soininen, H., Pirttila, T., 2009. Cerebrospinal fluid {beta}-amyloid 42 and tau proteins as biomarkers of Alzheimer-type pathologic changes in the brain. Arch. Neurol. 66,
- Toledo, J.B., Vanderstichele, H., Figurski, M., Aisen, P.S., Petersen, R.C., Weiner, M.W., Jack Jr., C.R., Jagust, W., Decarli, C., Toga, A.W., Toledo, E., Xie, S.X., Lee, V.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Shaw, L.M., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2011. Factors affecting Abeta plasma levels and their utility as biomarkers in ADNI. Acta Neuropathol, 122, 401–413.
- Toledo, J.B., Brettschneider, J., Grossman, M., Arnold, S.E., Hu, W.T., Xie, S.X., Lee, V.M., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2012. CSF biomarkers cutoffs: the importance of coincident neuropathological diseases. Acta Neuropathol. 124, 23-35.
- Toledo, J.B., Cairns, N.J., Da, X., Chen, K., Carter, D., Fleisher, A., Householder, E., Ayutyanont, N., Roontiva, A., Bauer, R.J., 2013a. Clinical and multimodal biomarker correlates of ADNI neuropathological findings. Acta Neuropathol. Commun. 1, 65.
- Toledo, J.B., Da, X., Bhatt, P., Wolk, D.A., Arnold, S.E., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Davatzikos, C., 2013b. Relationship between plasma analytes and SPARE-AD defined brain atrophy patterns in ADNI. PLoS ONE 8, e55531.
- Toledo, J.B., Xie, S.X., Trojanowski, J.Q., Shaw, L.M., 2013c. Longitudinal change in CSF Tau and AB biomarkers for up to 48 months in ADNI. Acta Neuropathol. 126, 659–670.
- Tosun, D., Schuff, N., Mathis, C.A., Jagust, W., Weiner, M.W., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2011. Spatial patterns of brain amyloid-beta burden and atrophy rate associations in mild cognitive impairment. Brain 134, 1077-1088. Vapnik, V.N., 1998. Statistical Learning Theory. Wiley, New York.
- Vemuri, P., Gunter, J.L., Senjem, M.L., Whitwell, J.L., Kantarci, K., Knopman, D.S., Boeve, B.F., Petersen, R.C., Jack Jr., C.R., 2008. Alzheimer's disease diagnosis in individual subjects using structural MR images: validation studies. Neuroimage 39, 1186-1197.
- Vemuri, P., Wiste, H.J., Weigand, S.D., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Weiner, M.W., Knopman, D.S., Petersen, R.C., Jack Jr., C.R., ADNI, 2009a. MRI and CSF biomarkers in normal, MCI, and AD subjects: diagnostic discrimination and cognitive correlations. Neurology 73, 287-293.
- Vemuri, P., Wiste, H.J., Weigand, S.D., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Weiner, M.W., Knopman, D.S., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C.R., J., ADNI, 2009b. MRI and CSF biomarkers in normal, MCI, and AD subjects: predicting future clinical changes. Neurology 73, 294-301.

- Walhovd, K., Fjell, A., Brewer, J., McEvoy, L., Fennema-Notestine, C., Hagler, D., Jennings, R., Karow, D., Dale, A., 2010. Combining MR imaging, positron-emission tomography, and CSF biomarkers in the diagnosis and prognosis of Alzheimer disease. Am. J. Neuroradiol. 31, 347–354.
- Weesie, J., 1999. sg121: Seemingly unrelated estimation and the cluster-adjusted sandwich estimator. Stata Tech. Bull. 52, 34–47.
- Westman, E., Muehlboeck, J., Simmons, A., 2012. Combining MRI and CSF measures for classification of Alzheimer's disease and prediction of mild cognitive impairment conversion. Neuroimage 62, 229–238.
- Whitwell, J.L., Shiung, M.M., Przybelski, S., Weigand, S.D., Knopman, D.S., Boeve, B.F., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C., 2008. MRI patterns of atrophy associated with progression to AD in amnestic mild cognitive impairment. Neurology 70, 512–520.
- Wirth, M., Madison, C.M., Rabinovici, G.D., Oh, H., Landau, S.M., Jagust, W.J., 2013. Alzheimer's Disease neurodegenerative biomarkers are associated with decreased cognitive function but not β-amyloid in cognitively normal older individuals. J. Neurosci. 33, 5553–5563.
- Wolk, D.A., Dickerson, B.C., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, 2010. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype has dissociable effects on memory and attentional–executive network function in Alzheimer's disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 10256–10261.
- Wolz, R., Julkunen, V., Koikkalainen, J., Niskanen, E., Zhang, D.P., Rueckert, D., Soininen, H., Lötjönen, J., 2011. Multi-method analysis of MRI images in early diagnostics of Alzheimer's disease. PLoS ONE 6, e25446.
- Zhang, T., Davatzikos, C., 2011. ODVBA: optimally-discriminative voxel-based analysis. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 30, 1441–1454.